

including those portions to which only “general and conclusory” objections have been made – for clear error. *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005); *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1983); *Opriano v. Johnson*, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond*, 416 F.3d at 315 (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff timely filed an objection (ECF No. 32) to the Report; however, his objections are nonspecific and do not relate to the Magistrate Judge’s findings or conclusions in the Report. Plaintiff merely claims that “they keep saying I didn’t fill out all my information about my law suit again . . . but all the paper they sent me I fill them out [and] sent them back in . . .” (*Id.* at 1.) The Magistrate Judge had directed Plaintiff to cure the deficiencies in his Amended Complaint by plausibly alleging whether a detention center official violated his rights. (*Id.* at 2), which he failed to do. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party’s right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. *See United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby*, 718 F.2d at 199.

After a thorough review of the issues in this case and the Report, the court finds that the Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law and does not contain clear error. The court **ACCEPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Order and Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 27), and therefore, **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's Amended Complaint with prejudice and without issuance of service of process (ECF No. 21).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "J. Michelle Childs". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

United States District Judge

September 4, 2020
Columbia, South Carolina